

Summary

Galactic War will not take place.....	1
Ethnocide vs. Genocide	1
What is a risk?	1
Let us evaluate the risk	1
Who benefits from the Galactic War?	2
For the recognition of the Exocivilizations.....	3

Galactic War will not take place

Many people wonder "Is the presence of exocivilizations risky? "

Ethnocide vs. Genocide

The term ethnocide appeared in French in 1970 in the writings of the ethnologist Robert Jaulin. It means the disappearance of all social and cultural character of a group of humans, destruction of its civilization by another ethnic group more powerful. It is most frequently used in connection with the disappearance of cultures of the indigenous peoples. The ethnocide destroys the cultural identity of a group, not necessarily physically (as opposed to genocide). Ethnocide may be the result of a gradual economic or social change, therefore, comes from a state official policy. Lemkin, who invented the concept of genocide, considers that, under certain circumstances, ethnocide is genocide.

We will consider the following 4 types of ethnocide:

- The non-aggressive ethnocide, leading to cultural extinction without human destruction.
- The non-aggressive ethnocide, leading to cultural extinction with human destruction.
- The aggressive ethnocide, leading to cultural extinction without human destruction.
- The aggressive ethnocide, leading to cultural extinction with human destruction, in other words, a genocide.

What is a risk?

All methods of risk assessment take into account the following three parameters:

- What might we lose?
- What kind of threat?
- What is the likelihood that this threat will happen?

Let us evaluate the risk

So, what is the risk of an ethnocide induced by the presence of exocivilizations?

Is one of the types of ethnocide that we have identified?

Let's apply the usual methodology by examining the previous three parameters:

- What might we lose?

Living in a wonderful society where there is social and economic justice and general welfare.

- What kind of threat?

Direct contact with the exocivilizations leads to an ethnocide:

- The non-aggressive ethnocide, leading to cultural extinction without human destruction.
- The non-aggressive ethnocide, leading to cultural extinction with human destruction.

- c) The aggressive ethnocide, leading to cultural extinction without human destruction.
- d) The aggressive ethnocide, leading to cultural extinction with human destruction, in other words, a genocide.

- What is the probability that the threat of a contact with exocivilizations may occur?
As found in the oomomen's documents the presence of exocivilizations on earth has been going on for at least 30,000 years:

E33 - *Looking into the distant universe.... p. 439, Message read April 18, 1969 by Fernando Sesma - From a planet codified by a number [2] by its inhabitants, came the earliest visitors to the Earth (since 31,700 years BC). They are tall with a scaly skin; their anatomy is a little bit different from yours. They come from a star that you have not cataloged, located at 47 light years.*

As far back as can be traced in the history of man, at no time the contacts with exocivilizations have been a threat.

D1378: *"Men of the Earth. **Our ethics prevent us from violating the principle of non interference** in the free flow of evolving Social Network of a cold star inhabited by intelligent human beings."*

"We insist: only the certainty that the OEMII of OYAGAA [terrestrials] could disappear from his planet, would give us a moral argument for intervention and only in this case. We would not limit ourselves to stop the process of mutual annihilation, but our expeditionary group would have its staff and technical resources increased in order to put into action a global plan..."

The probability of contacts representing a significant threat of interference is totally null, outside the context of a self-destructive cataclysm.

To put it short, outside the context of a self-destructive cataclysm, the value of the risk for us to lose our wonderful society is zero.

As our nuclear plants and armament are already under control from the exocivilizations, one can consider that the context of a self-destructive cataclysm is already provided by the three major human oligarchic groups (the Western world, the Russians and the Chinese) all of them are "dangerous, cynical and corrupt." (ref. D1378).

D1378 : *«The most advanced components [building blocks] of your technology, the means of organization, a huge mass of data are **in the hands of the most irresponsible brains**, which is like placing an explosive of great destructive power in the hands of a child. **You have created a social order structured in a delirious way**, in which technological and economical power and information are being manipulated, not by intelligent creators of an emerging social network, but by the sickest and most archaic brains of your society. Any system which could be applied to cure poverty in the third world or curb the energy shortage and thus provide the highest possible levels of well-being is quickly diverted towards technological applications for military purposes...»*

The real risk of a self-destructive cataclysm comes from the three major human oligarchic groups (the Western world, the Russians and the Chinese) "**dangerous, cynical and corrupt**". So, we do hope that our neighbors will interfere ASAP !!!

Who benefits from the Galactic War?

As we have shown, the risk of a Galactic War is null, the question is in fact:

Who benefits from fear?

Machiavelli teaches us that the prince should be feared, but still not be hated. If he is hated, the people will turn against him; only fear maintains his authority and power. It is thus a good policy to maintain fear without turning it into hatred. A people kept in fear remains "calm". He dares not stand up against the power. A people who begin to hate his sovereign will seek to overthrow him and he will follow those who lead the revolt. All tyrants of humanity know that. There is a calculated skill, cunning, Machiavellian to handle insecurity and use fear.

The supposed danger of the Alien is an assumption that feeds the ancestral fear of the Other that is hidden in a corner of our limbic brain. In appealing here to a precautionary principle is just a false prudence, inadequate but prone to let old aggressive reflexes operate. These are terrible booby traps...

Ethnocidal pseudo-arguments such as zero-risk are a godsend for all the warmongers in the political-military oligarchies that infest the planet. The logic of these pseudo-arguments, ethnocide, zero risk, is a wonderful opportunity for them to justify and increase fears. They don't have any better justification than the fear of Aliens for imposing to the world their delirious economic order! How could they acquire more power to economically enslave a growing number of people? What better than the guise of a precautionary principle? And all this even at the request of scientists and ufologists?

If there is a precautionary principle to apply, it should be against the financial oligarchs to whom we have already naively conceded too much power. Distinguished scientists and ufologists, don't bow down anymore!

Do you doubt that the oligarchs are ready to do anything to keep power? The ethnocide in Rwanda was fomented by the wealthy, not only Hutus, in a Malthusian crisis context, and just simply to try to keep their power...

What about the good ole hypothetico-deductive logic? Now we all have understood that the presence of the exocivilizations near by the Earth for millenniums is a peaceful presence; it was never a threat to the planet. Despite various epiphenomena morally wrong, the presence of the exocivilizations is a real protection and the ultimate salvation for humanity on Earth.

For the recognition of the Exocivilizations

Our duty as scientists or ufologists is to communicate these facts and every related positive analysis. We must not make us accomplices of oligarchs who, like those in all totalitarian regimes, who have always designated scapegoats to justify the madness in which they led their people... and they still lead us.

The trees must not hide the forest. No, the UFO phenomenon is not dangerous. What is dangerous for our society is when a child dies. When he dies through the fault of the incompetence and apathy towards the urgent need for an efficient social regulation of economic and financial systems that shamelessly sacrifice everybody on the insatiable altar of dividends. Who's gonna be next?

What would be the usefulness of yet another study on a topic that every international leader has been perfectly knowing for 50 years? Let's stop condoning or promoting, directly or incidentally, the hatred of the Exocivilizations. Would it not be wiser to make pressure for an official recognition of our mutual rights and for an effective application of OEMMII rights on Earth?

Manifesto: RECOGNITION OF EXOCIVILIZATIONS

This manifesto outlines a few of the basic principles necessary to establish a fair and long lasting relationship with any exocivilization respecting the «Pax Galactica»:

Exocivilizations' Rights

- 1. Official recognition of the exocivilizations**
- 2. Application of Human Rights to all exocivilizations**
- 3. Application of the Geneva Convention to all exocivilizations**
- 4. Restitution of the bodies of dead explorers to exocivilizations (in reference to the 1994 Law on Bioethics)**

Exocivilizations' Duties

- 1. Respect for the UN conventions and resolutions**
- 2. Respect for the rights of States**
- 3. Respect for the rights and integrity of people and assets**

« The knowledge for whom and why? »

Denis R. DENOCLA